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Highlights

Paratyphoid {\it Salmonella} are present in the broiler chain in Maranhão. Newly slaughtered carcasses were the main source of contamination by {\it Salmonella} spp. {\it Salmonella} serovar Schwarzengrund was predominant in the poultry production chain. The artisanal poultry slaughter can increase dissemination of {\it Salmonella} spp.

Abstract

{\it Paratyphoid Salmonella} significantly impacts modern poultry farming, because it is one of the main causes of foodborne diseases in the world. Efforts have been made by the government and poultry industry to reduce the existence of {\it Salmonella} in the entire poultry production chain through sanitary programs. The aim of this work was to investigate the occurrence of {\it Salmonella} spp. and its serovars in environmental sources of production, poultry, and carcasses slaughtered in an artisanal manner in the northern mesoregion of Maranhão State, Brazil. A total of 520 samples were collected, comprising drag swabs (\(n=60\)), prope (\(n=60\)), cecal feces (\(n=60\)), feed of feeder (\(n=60\)), and cloacal swabs (\(n=100\)) of poultry sent for slaughter, and newly slaughtered carcasses (\(n=180\)). The samples were subjected to culture and isolation of {\it Salmonella} spp. and serotyping. The occurrence of the genus {\it Salmonella} was 25.0\% (15/60) in drag swabs, 16.6\% (10/60) in prope, 1.7\% (1/60) in cecal feces, absent (0/60) in the feed, 7\% (7/100) in cloacal swabs, and 48.9\% (88/180) in poultry carcasses. Fifteen {\it Salmonella enterica} serovars were identified in the samples, with the highest occurrence in the Schwarzengrund (28.09\%; 34/121), Albany (19.83\%; 24/121), Enteritidis (7.43\%; 9/121), and Heidelberg (7.43\%; 9/121). {\it Salmonella} ser. Schwarzengrund showed higher predominance in the poultry production chain, with greater isolation in carcass samples (34 isolates), while {\it Salmonella} ser. Enteritidis had the highest occurrence in the initial production chain. The results of our study indicate the need to implement sanitary control in farms for paratyphoid salmonella and that artisanal poultry slaughter can increase bacterial dissemination in the final product, representing a public health risk.
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Resumo

As salmonelas paratíficas causam grande impacto para avicultura moderna, por se tratar de uma das principais causas de doenças de origem alimentar no mundo. Há um esforço de órgãos governamentais e da indústria avícola em diminuir a presença da *Salmonella* em toda cadeia produtiva de aves, através de programas sanitários. O objetivo desse trabalho foi pesquisar a ocorrência da *Salmonella* spp. e seus sorovares em fontes ambientais de produção, em aves e em carcaças abatidas de forma artesanal na Mesorregião Norte do Estado do Maranhão, Brasil. Foram coletadas 240 amostras de suabe de arrasto, propé, fezes ceais e ração de comedouros, 100 amostras de suabes de cloaca de aves destinadas ao abate e 180 amostras de carcaças recém abatidas. As amostras foram submetidas a cultura e isolamento de *Salmonella* spp. e sorotipificação. A ocorrência do gênero *Salmonella* foi de 25,0% (15/60) em suabe de arrasto, 16,6% (10/60) de propé, 1,7% (1/60) em fezes ceais, ausência (0/60) em ração, 7% (7/100) de suabe cloacal e 48,9% (88/180) em carcaças de frango. Foram identificados 15 sorovares de *Salmonella enterica* nas amostras, sendo os de maior ocorrência: Schwarzengrund (28,09%; 34/121), Albany (19,83%; 24/121), Enteritidis (7,43%; 9/121) e Heidelberg (7,43%; 9/121). *Salmonella* ser. Schwarzengrund apresentou maior predominância na cadeia produtiva de aves, com maior isolamento em amostras de carcaça (34 isolados) enquanto *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis teve maior ocorrência na cadeia inicial de produção. Os resultados encontrados indicam a necessidade de implementação do controle sanitário nas granjas para as salmonelas paratíficas e que o abate artesanal de aves pode aumentar a disseminação da bactéria no produto final, representando risco para saúde pública. 


Introduction

*Salmonella enterica* remains the main enteric bacterium causing food-borne diseases worldwide (ANDINO; HANNING, 2015; CDC, 2011). Among the various hosts, birds are considered important reservoirs of salmonella serovars capable of causing diseases in humans, and poultry products are the main carriers through which microorganisms enter into the food chain (CARDOSO; TESSARI, 2008).

The consumption of chicken meat has progressively increased. It is considered dominant in the diet of Brazilians and is the second most consumed meat in the world (FAO, 2015; USDA, 2016). The increase in demand for this product has allowed the development and consolidation of the poultry industry in several countries, such as Brazil, a leader in the production and exportation of chicken meat (ABPA, 2017). On the other hand, the consumption of chicken products has become a risk factor due to the occurrence of salmonellosis in humans (WHO/FAO, 2009). The high-density production system coupled with high susceptibility of chickens to colonization by *Salmonella* spp. in the intestinal tract has favored dissemination of bacteria and persistence in the poultry production chain, becoming a problem in the poultry farming industry worldwide (ANDREATTI FILHO et al., 2009; VOLKOVA et al., 2009).

The use of biosecurity programs is the main means of controlling and keeping farming systems free from being the sources of diseases that cause economic impact and are a risk to public health. However, with regard to salmonellosis, disease control is difficult because of the complex epidemiology, involving a wide variety of serovars without specific hosts, which enables countless reservoirs and several sources of environmental contamination (CARDOSO et al., 2013; FOLEY et al., 2013). Knowledge of *Salmonella* occurrence and circulating serovars in farms or lots assists in the establishment of more specific and effective measures in disease control (REVOLLEDO, 2008).

Avian paratyphoid is avian salmonellosis with the highest risk for poultry farming, especially because of its negative effects on public health (CARDOSO et al., 2013). In this kind of salmonellosis, the non-
exclusive serovars of birds are included, which cause subclinical infections, being able to remain in the gastrointestinal tract of chickens until slaughter (ANDINO; HANNING, 2015; BERCHIERI JÚNIOR et al., 2009). Among the paratyphoid salmonella, Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium are the major causes of diseases associated with eggs and chicken meat consumption. The control of these bacteria is one of the criteria for sanitary certification of poultry establishments in Brazil, as recommended by the National Plan of Poultry Health of the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply, which guarantees sanitary quality and safety of the food produced in the country (BRASIL, 2003).

In the industry, the presence of Salmonella spp. in chicken carcasses is through initial transmittance between birds themselves, and the presence of the bacterium in the chicken intestine is the main risk factor for meat contamination (AARESTRUP et al., 2007; HUE et al., 2011). Slaughter operations and successive processes may result in meat cross-contamination and its by-products (VON RÜCKERT et al., 2009). The conditions of hygiene of slaughterhouses and slaughter techniques applied are determinant factors for disseminating Salmonella spp. in the carcasses (LUNDGREN et al., 2009).

Studies conducted in Brazil show large variations in the prevalence and distribution of Salmonella serovars in poultry carcasses originating from slaughterhouses (BONI et al., 2011; CARDOSO et al., 2015; DUARTE et al., 2009; MINHARRO et al., 2015; MOREIRA et al., 2008). Most of the research is conducted in the south, southeast and midwest regions, where there is the highest concentration of birds in the confinement system and slaughterhouses under federal inspection service (RAVAGNANI et al., 2012). The Brazilian poultry industry continues to expand to northern and southern regions of Brazil, with the implementation of commercial establishments, but with few chicken meat-processing industries. Epidemiological studies on Salmonella spp. in farms and poultry products in these regions are scarce, where poultry slaughter in a small scale is still a common practice. Hence, considering the socioeconomic losses caused by Salmonella spp. and the risks to public health, the aim of this study was to investigate the sources of contamination and infection by Salmonella spp. and determine their serovars in the broiler production chain in the northern mesoregion of Maranhão State, Brazil.

Materials and methods

Sample size

The research was carried out in the northern mesoregion of Maranhão State, represented by the microregions of Itapecuru-Mirim and urban areas of São Luís. In the production chain sector, the research was concentrated on poultry farms, poultry distribution warehouses, and artisanal slaughterhouses of municipal public markets, because there was no industrial poultry slaughterhouse with sanitary inspection in the State.

Sampling

During 2013 to 2014, 240 samples from 60 aviaries containing batches of poultry aged between 35 and 45 days were collected and analyzed. The aviaries had from 15,500 to 32,000 birds, in an air-conditioned and semi-air-conditioned system, and rice husk was used as poultry litter and reutilized for up to three lots.

From each aviary, samples were collected from two swabs of avian litter, four sterilized propes, 200 grams of fresh cecal feces, and 300 grams of feed from the feeder, following guidelines of Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply (BRASIL, 2013). The avian bed swabs were collected from water fountains and feeders, with the aid of sterilized propes, then, assembled in a single sample.
per aviary, and packaged in bottles containing 0.1 % peptone buffered water. Samples of fresh cecal feces (pasty and of greenish brown in color) were harvested at different points distributed along the shed, and assembled as a single sample, using spatulas and sterile collectors. As for the feed, each sample consisted of randomly collected portions in 12 feeders located on the lateral and center of the aviary, then placed in a sterile and homogenized collector (MAPA/OPAS, 2010).

Samples were collected from the poultry transportation vehicles of five warehouses, through cloacal swabs of 1000 birds of different batches, which were housed in cages, at the time of distribution to the slaughterhouses. Each sample of cloacal swab corresponded to a pool of 10 local swabs, totaling 100 samples.

Twenty public markets that represented a conglomerate were drawn and then samples of broiler carcasses were collected from artisan slaughterhouses in the morning, totaling 180 samples of whole carcasses. Samples harvested shortly after slaughter were packaged in sterile polyethylene bags, identified, and transported in a box of isothermal material containing recyclable ice and analyzed not more than 2 hours after collection.

**Microbiological analysis**

The samples were processed at the Microbiology Laboratory of the Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Maranhão (FIMA). It began with the pre-enrichment of the samples, in which the cloacal and drag swabs of avian bed were immediately incubated at 37°C for 18 to 20 hours. Stool and feed samples were weighed (25 g) and diluted in 225 mL of 1% buffered peptone water, homogenized, and incubated at 37°C for 18 to 20 hours. The poultry carcasses were analyzed by the rinse technique, with the use of 300 mL 1% buffered peptone water (COX, 1978). The solution obtained was transferred, aseptically, to a sterile container and incubated under the same conditions as other samples.

For research on *Salmonella*, the samples were subjected to an enrichment step in the proportion 1:10 in Selenite-Cystine Broth (1 ml/10 ml) and 1:100 in Rappaport Vassiliadis Broth (0.1 ml/10 ml), and then incubated at 42°C for 18 to 24 hours. Subsequently, the samples were seeded in selective medium indicators: xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD) agar, brilliant green phenol-red lactose sucrose (BPLS) agar, *Salmonella-Shigella* (SS) agar, and Hektoen enteric (HE) agar. After incubation at 37°C for 24 hours, the colonies suspected of *Salmonella* spp. were isolated and subjected to biochemical tests in Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) agar, Lysine Iron (LIA) agar, SIM and Urea broth, following the methodology recommended by Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply (BRASIL, 1995). The strains that showed characteristic reactions of *Salmonella* in the TSI and LIA agars, mobile or immobile in SIM, negative for the indole and urease test were characterized antigenically by the rapid agglutination test with the somatic polyvalent antiserum (Probac®). *Salmonella* isolates were cultivated in nutrient agar and sent to the Enterobacteria Laboratory of Oswaldo Cruz Foundation Institute, Rio de Janeiro (IOC/ FIOCRUZ, RJ, BRASIL) for antigenic serotyping.

The research was approved by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals in Research of the Londrina State University (LSU) under number 15093.2014.96.

**Results and Discussion**

*Salmonella* spp. was isolated in all stages of the poultry production chain (Table 1). From the total of 520 samples analyzed, 121 (23.26%) were positive for *Salmonella* spp. Of these, 7 (1.3%) were of cloacal swab of birds, 26 (5.0%) of samples from the poultry breeding environment, and 88 (16.92%) of slaughterhouses samples.
Table 1. Occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in the broiler production chain of Maranhão State, 2013 to 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample types</th>
<th>Sample size (n)</th>
<th>Presence of <em>Salmonella</em></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aviary samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drag Swab</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prope</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh stools</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloacal Swab</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaughterhouse samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken carcasses</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By evaluating the samples of the poultry breeding environment, it was found that *Salmonella* spp. was isolated from 25% (15/60) in samples of drag swabs, 16.7% (10/60) in samples of prope, and 1.7% (1/60) in samples of cecal feces (Table 1). *Salmonella* spp. was not isolated in feed samples of feeders (0/60).

It was found that 20 of 60 aviaries had the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. in some of the environmental samples analyzed, and the drag swabs showed the highest isolation rate, with 15/60 positive samples (25.0%). These results were superior to the work by Pandini et al. (2015), who found *Salmonella* in 11.4% of the samples of drag swabs of aviaries in Paraná, and by Andreatti Filho et al. (2009), who encountered occurrence of 2.7% aviaries of poultry farms in São Paulo.

The drag swab technique is an efficient method to evaluate the sanitary status of the poultry litter and indirectly of the poultry lot. The place where chickens rest is an important source of contamination by *Salmonella* spp., because it can accommodate a large number of bacteria for long periods of time, and if not treated properly, can transmit pathogenic agents to subsequent batches (SANTIN, 2014). The high occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in samples of drag swabs indicates flaws in the sanitary control in production, requiring the implementation of biosecurity programs to control this bacterium.

It is worth noting that the high contamination by *Salmonella* spp. in poultry litter is associated with increased isolation of the bacterium in poultry carcasses in slaughterhouses (VOLKOVA et al., 2010), possibly by increasing the external contamination of the chicken (feathers and skin) or the possibility of oral infection of the birds.

It was observed that bacterium isolates were low (1/60) in cecal feces from the breeding environment of broilers, at the end of the production cycle. This result can be due to the fact that the infected birds, by some serovars of *Salmonella* spp., transmit the microorganism intermittently, which decreases with the advancing age of the birds, making them carriers (ANDRADE et al., 2007; BONI et al., 2011). The stress caused by catching and transporting birds causes a pathogen elimination increase by the feces of carrier poultry, increasing the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. before slaughter (MARIN; LAINÉZ, 2009).

In poultry that arrived from transport vehicles, the occurrence of *Salmonella* in cloacal swabs was 7% (7/100) (Table 1). The results found were inferior to that of Chiu et al. (2010), who isolated *Salmonella* spp. in 17 (11.3%) of 150 samples of
cloacal swab of broilers that were three weeks of age. Different results were also found by Ravagnani et al. (2012), who did not isolate *Salmonella* spp. in 100 cloacal swabs of broilers with a mean age of 21 days, in the western region of Paraná. These authors suggest that the high sanitary control adopted by integrating companies influenced the absence of the bacterium in broilers.

It is noteworthy that the sampled birds were being destined to the region’s slaughterhouses. Thus, the isolation of the bacterium in live birds, at slaughter stage, reveals the presence of the asymptomatic carrier, considered one of the most important epidemiological factors for the presence of *Salmonella* spp. in slaughterhouses (AARESTRUP et al., 2007; HUE et al., 2011). Cardoso and Tessari (2008) emphasize that a small number of animals infected with *Salmonellas* spp. that arrives at the slaughterhouse can cause contamination of the entire slaughter line, in places where the carcasses are not processed properly. Therefore, the higher the prevalence in live birds, the more the contamination in the carcasses slaughtered.

In this study, of the samples analyzed from the production chain of broilers, carcasses of slaughterhouses showed the highest isolation of *Salmonella* spp., with the occurrence of 48.9%. These results were superior to those found in research evaluating carcasses in industrial slaughterhouses in Brazil in the last decade, in which they obtained a range from 2.5 to 25.49% (CARDOSO et al., 2015; DUARTE et al., 2009; MINHARRO et al., 2015; MOREIRA et al., 2008).

The high contamination rate of carcasses by *Salmonella* spp. may be attributed to various factors related to slaughtering and processing of meat in the regional slaughterhouses that were evaluated. It is known that market establishments and fairs are not suitable for slaughter of animals, because the infrastructure of the sites allows for the cross contamination of *Salmonella* spp., such as in the defeathering and manual evisceration. Studies indicate that the manual evisceration method may increase the risk of contamination by *Salmonella* spp. in slaughterhouses (RIVIERA-PEREZ et al., 2014). Once the bacteria are present in the intestine of birds, the lack of operator ability in the process of evisceration can disseminate the microorganism through utensils, equipment, and hands of operators (STOPPA, 2011).

The occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in chicken meat tends to be higher in samples collected from artisanal slaughterhouses present in markets and fairs in Brazil (BARROS et al., 2014; BRITO et al., 2010; MOURA FILHO et al., 2010). Research carried out in other countries, where the artisanal slaughter of chickens is recorded in traditional city markets, indicate high rates of contamination by *Salmonella* spp. in chicken meat, which showed 62.5% in Senegal (BADA-ALAMBEDJI et al., 2006) 59% in Taiwan (CHEN et al., 2010), and 48.75% in Pakistan (SHAH; KOREJO, 2012). The authors attribute the high occurrence of the bacterium to the population’s low socioeconomic level and the precarious sanitary hygiene conditions of poultry slaughterhouses.

The predominant requirement for ensuring the sanitary quality of chicken meat is hygiene. It was observed that the occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. in the slaughterhouse’s samples (48.88%) was almost seven times higher than the occurrence in live birds (7.0%). The deficient sanitary hygiene measures applied in the physical area the operators, in addition to the meat processing practices, may have contributed significant transmission and increase in the presence of the bacterium in the samples evaluated.

Although the poultry industry in Brazil is a world reference in the production and exportation of chicken meat, the slaughter of poultry in small scale is still a common practice in the north and northeast regions of the country, enabling the emergence of numerous artisanal slaughterhouses. Poultry farming, which for many years has developed in the
south and southeast regions, has been expanding to these regions with the implantation of integration systems of farms and the emergence of industrial slaughterhouses, but still fail to meet the demands of the regional market.

The serotyping of 121 isolates identified 15 *Salmonella enterica* serovars (Table 2). In 15 isolates, *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* was identified with the following antigenic formulas: O:4,5 (9/121), O:6,8 (3/121), O:3,10 (2/121) and O:4,5:I,v:- (1/121). *Salmonella enterica* serovar Schwarzengrund was found to be more frequent (28.09%) in the poultry production chain and was isolated in five environmental samples, two birds, and 27 slaughterhouses. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Albany was the second with the highest occurrence (19.83%), and isolated in a sample of prope and 23 carcasses of slaughterhouses. *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis appeared in 7.43% of the isolates, which was found in seven environmental samples and two bird samples. With equal isolation rate, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Heildelberg appeared exclusively in nine samples of slaughterhouses. There was isolation of *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium in two samples of drag swab of the poultry farms.

**Table 2.** Distribution of serovars *Salmonella* spp. according to the occurrence and sources of isolation in the broiler production chain of Maranhão State, 2013 to 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serovars of <em>Salmonella enterica</em></th>
<th>Drag Swab</th>
<th>Prope</th>
<th>Stool</th>
<th>Ration</th>
<th>Cloacal Swab</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Isolated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schwarzengrund</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteritidis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heidelberg</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O:4,5 *</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O:6,8 *</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agona</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhimurium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O:3,10 *</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orion</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenftenberg</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worthing</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O:4,5:1,v:*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Undetectable flagellar structure.*
It was found that *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis showed higher occurrence in environmental and poultry samples, and was not isolated in slaughterhouse samples (Table 2). Cardoso et al. (2013) and Scur et al. (2014) confirmed this serovar to be more prevalent in samples of poultry breeding environment in the southeast and southern regions of the country, respectively. It is known that *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis has been described as the main serovar isolated in batches of chickens, poultry meat, and commercial eggs in the last decade in Brazil (Boni et al., 2011; Brasil, 2012; Cardoso et al., 2015; Duarte et al., 2009; Medeiros et al., 2011; Minharro et al., 2015). This serovar along with *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium have significant impact on public health, because they have high pathogenicity for human beings, and are mainly responsible for outbreaks through food worldwide (Ricke et al., 2013). Furthermore, because they are associated with poultry products, specific control programs for these serovars were implemented, along with sanitary monitoring of the stocks and incentive for vaccination against *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis as a means to reduce the vertical transmission of the agent. In some Brazilian states, there was a reduction or absence of *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis in samples collected from poultry farms (Mendonça, 2011; Ravagnani et al., 2012; Voss-Rech et al., 2015), from which it has been inferred that there have been improvements in the sanitary control of this serovar in hatchery and farms.

The presence of *salmonella* ser. Enteritidis and *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium in samples of farms and poultry indicate failure in the execution of sanitary programs, because the control of these agents is considered fundamental for the sanitary certification through the National Poultry Health Plan (NPHP) (Brasil, 2003). According to Silva and Duarte (2002), the control and eradication of these serovars throughout the country is hindered by the little or no impact on the productivity of the birds added with the little awareness that the eradication of these pathogens from farms will cause a reduction in outbreaks in humans.

In our study, *Salmonella* ser. Schwarzengrund was more prevalent in samples of chicken carcasses, being isolated in 27 of 180 samples (Table 2). This serovar was also the most prevalent in the poultry production chain (28.81%), occurring in samples of drag swab, prope, and cloacal swab. These results corroborate the data by Moraes et al. (2014) who found the same serovar as the most prevalent (28.3%) in the poultry production chain of Goiás State. Higher rates of isolation were found by Boni et al. (2011), which verified the occurrence of 37.93% in the production chain of Mato Grosso do Sul State, and by Chen et al. (2010), who found that 33.5% of serovar was present in chicken meat in public markets in Taiwan.

The dynamism of paratyphoid salmonella serovar of avian origin in a country may be influenced by regional characteristics such as climate and seasons (Valcheva et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2013), by socio-economic Factors (Shah; Korejo, 2012), for the import or export of food and animal products (Aarestrup et al., 2007), and mainly by the implementation of sanitary programs (Foley et al., 2011). The gradual dominance substitution of *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis by other paratyphoid salmonella serovars in Brazilian broiler production and in slaughterhouses has been reported (Muniz, 2012; Voss-Rech et al., 2015). In the present study, *Salmonella* ser. Schwarzengrund presented a higher predominance in the poultry production chain. The increased immunity of poultry caused by exposure or specific vaccinations against *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis or against antigenically similar serovars has allowed the decline of this serovar and occupation of other paratyphoid serovars in their ecological niche (Foley et al., 2011).

In the last ten years, *Salmonella* ser. Schwarzengrund is described as one of the most frequent serovars of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from broilers and poultry products in Asia, Europe and...
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the United States (AARESTRUP et al., 2007; ANDINO; HANNING, 2015; ASAI et al., 2009; CHEN et al., 2010; USDA, 2015). Similarly, this serovar has been recorded in the poultry production chain in Brazil and is found in all regions of the country (MENDONÇA, 2011; MOREIRA et al., 2008; PANDINI et al., 2015; VOSS-RECH et al., 2015). Although Salmonella ser. Schwarzengrund is a less common cause of human salmonellosis, with higher incidence of cases in the Asian continent (CHEN et al., 2010) and in the United States (CDC, 2007), it is emphasized that this serovar could be the reason because of its increase in the poultry production chain, emphasizing its impact in the poultry farming, because it is an emerging serovar that has negative effects on public health.

Salmonella ser. Albany stands out as the serovar with the second highest occurrence, isolated almost exclusively in chicken carcasses. Brito et al. (2010) also detected this serovar in 12.5% of newly slaughtered chicken carcasses in markets of Maranhão State. Despite being considered as a rare serovar and often associated with chicken meat in other countries (CHEN et al., 2010; ELGROUD et al., 2015; THAI et al., 2012; ZAIDI et al., 2006), in Brazil, the presence of Salmonella ser. Albany in chicken carcasses is commonly recorded in industrial slaughterhouses (MOREIRA et al., 2008; PANZENHAGEN et al., 2016; STOPPA, 2011). Poultry and wild animals are the main reservoirs of this serovar (SILVA-HIDALGO et al., 2013). The contamination found in carcasses by Salmonella ser. Albany may pose a risk to consumers, since it is an agent that causes food-borne diarrhea in humans (ZAIDI et al., 2006).

Another serovar of importance found in the evaluated carcasses was Salmonella ser. Heidelberg. In the order of impact of agents on humans, this agent is stands third and causes human salmonellosis in the United States (CDC, 2013) and grows in products of poultry (COLLA et al., 2012; MEDEIROS et al., 2011; USDA, 2015; VOSS-RECH et al., 2015). The presence of Salmonella ser. Heidelberg in marketed foods becomes worrisome, because this serovar is capable of causing invasive infections with greater severity in relation to other paratyphoid serovars (FOLEY et al., 2011).

The results found confirm the presence of paratyphoid salmonella in the broiler production chain of Maranhão State. Implementation of sanitary control in poultry farms in the region is needed and the artisanal slaughter of chickens can increase Salmonella spp. dissemination to carcasses, representing a risk to public health.

Paratyphoid salmonella are important pathogens found in food that are commonly disseminated by poultry products. The monitoring of this agent in the poultry production chain is fundamental for the promotion of public health, because it is one of the main etiological agents of food-borne diseases in the world.
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