Use of a five-day progesterone-based timed artificial insemination protocol for dairy water buffaloes

Uso de um protocolo de inseminação artificial em tempo-fixo baseado em cinco dias de exposição à progesterona em bubalinos leiteiros
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to determine the effect of a short five-day (5 d) progesterone-based timed artificial insemination (TAI) protocol on the time of ovulation and fertility in dairy water buffaloes. In experiment 1, 30 dairy buffaloes were subjected to one of two estrous synchronization protocols. Females in the control group (n=17) were administered 2 mg of estradiol benzoate (EB), and an intravaginal progesterone-releasing device (CIDR) was inserted on day –12. On day –3, CIDR inserts were removed and all cows were treated with 150 μg of D-cloprostenol (a PGF2α-analogue). Forty-eight hours after CIDR removal, all cows received 100 μg of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH). Females assigned to the short 5 d group (n=13) received treatment similar to the control group, except that the CIDR device was inserted on day –8, and the EB treatment was eliminated. In experiment 2, 51 dairy buffaloes were separated into control (n=27) and short 5 d (n=24) groups that received the same treatment as described for cows in experiment 1, except that all females were inseminated 16 to 18 h after GnRH injection. The use of a short 5 d progesterone-based TAI protocol with or without treatment with EB on day 0 did not affect the time of ovulation and pregnancy per artificial insemination in lactating water buffaloes. Thus, we suggest that the short 5 d TAI protocol may be successfully used as an alternative to induce ovulation in dairy buffaloes, with the particular advantages of avoiding EB treatment and a shorter interval from the beginning of the protocol to TAI.
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Resumo

O objetivo deste estudo foi determinar o efeito de um protocolo de inseminação artificial em tempo-fixo (IATF) na taxa de ovulação e fertilidade em bubalinos leiteiros. No experimento 1, 30 búfalas leiteiras foram submetidas a um de dois protocolos sincronização de cio. As fêmeas do grupo Controle (n = 17) receberam 2 mg de benzoato de estradiol e um implante intravaginal de progesterona (CIDR) no Dia –12. No Dia –3, os implantes de CIDR foram removidos e todas as vacas foram tratadas com 150μg de D-Cloprostenol (análogo de PGF2α). Vinte e quatro horas após a remoção do CIDR, todas as vacas receberam 100 μg de GnRH. As fêmeas do grupo 5 d (n = 13) receberam um CIDR no Dia –8 e o restante dos tratamentos foram similares ao Grupo Controle. No Experimento 2, 51 búfalas leiteiras foram separadas em grupo Controle (n = 27) ou 5 d (n = 24), que receberam os mesmos tratamentos descritos no Experimento 1, exceto pelo fato de as fêmeas terem sido inseminadas 16 a 18 h após a injeção de GnRH. O uso de um protocolo curto sem aplicação de benzoato de estradiol (BE) no dia
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0 não afetou o momento da ovulação e prenhez por inseminação artificial em búfalas leiteiras em lactação. Dessa forma, sugere-se que o protocolo curto de 5 dias pode ser usado como alternativa para induzir ovulação em bubalinos leiteiros, com a vantagem de não usar BE, além de permitir um menor intervalo entre o início do protocolo até a IATF. 
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Water buffaloes play an important role in strengthening the agricultural economy and improving food security for the population of several Asian countries (YOUSUF et al., 2015) and some regions of South America, particularly in Northern Brazil. Despite their importance, the productivity of buffaloes remains negatively affected by certain reproductive features, including delayed puberty in heifers, poor estrus expression, long calving intervals, and breeding seasonality (SINGH et al., 2000). To overcome these limitations, hormonal treatments have been used to control ovarian function during the estrous cycle and optimize reproductive management.

For instance, estradiol/progesterone-based protocols have been widely applied for timed artificial insemination (TAI) of buffalo cows in South America (CARVALHO et al., 2014). Responses to these protocols, however, are inconsistent in terms of fertility. Moreover, the usual TAI protocols for buffaloes are of long duration (approximately 12 d) in comparison to, for example, the classic Ovsynch protocol (7 d). This particular feature leads to an extended milk withdrawal period, which is required to avoid contamination of the milk with the estradiol ester used at the beginning of the protocol.

In addition to the long withdrawal period, the use of estradiol esters in livestock is prohibited in several countries. Depending on the chemical formula and the pharmaceutical laboratory, an ester of estradiol may have a withdrawal period of up to 30 days for milk. Thus, the development of protocols that reduce the duration of the treatment with exogenous progesterone and limit the length of time taken for milk withdrawal due to the use of estradiol may increase the adoption of TAI by the dairy buffalo industry. The objective of this study, therefore, was to determine the effect on the time of ovulation and fertility of dairy buffaloes of a short 5 d TAI protocol, which reduces the length of the exposure to progesterone and avoids use of estradiol benzoate.

All animal procedures were approved by the Embrapa Ethics in the Use of Animals Committee (approval number F.02/2014). Experiments 1 and 2 were performed using the facilities at the Embrapa Rondônia Research Farm, located in Presidente Médici, RO, Brazil (11°10’33”S, 61°54’03”W).

In experiment 1, 30 lactating crossbred water buffaloes (Murrah X Mediterrâneo) were used. Cows weighed 450 to 700 kg and had a body condition score (BCS) ranging from 2.5 to 3.5 (scale 1 to 5; 1=emaciated, 5=obese). Animals were maintained in a pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* with *ad libitum* access to a mineral mixture, salt, and water.

The TAI protocol and hormonal treatments are depicted in Figure 1. Briefly, females in the control group (n=17) were given an intramuscular (i.m.) injection of 2 mg of estradiol benzoate (EB; Bioestrogen®, Biogênesis-Bagó, Curitiba, Brazil) and received an intravaginal progesterone-releasing device (1.9 g progesterone, CIDR®; Pfizer Animal Health, São Paulo, Brazil) on day –12 to synchronize follicular wave emergence. On day –3, CIDR inserts were removed and all cows were treated i.m. with 150 µg of D-Cloprostenol (PGF2α-analogue; Croniben®, Biogênesis-Bagó, Curitiba, Brazil) and received an intravaginal progesterone-releasing device (1.9 g progesterone, CIDR®; Pfizer Animal Health, São Paulo, Brazil) on day –12 to synchronize follicular wave emergence. On day –3, CIDR inserts were removed and all cows were treated i.m. with 150 µg of D-Cloprostenol (PGF2α-analogue; Croniben®, Biogênesis-Bagó, Curitiba, Brazil). Forty-eight hours after CIDR removal, all cows were administered 100 µg of Gonadotropin-releasing hormone i.m. (GnRH; Gestran plus®, Tecnopec, Buenos Aires, Argentina). Females assigned to the short 5 d group (n=13) were treated similarly to the control group except for receiving a CIDR device on day –8 instead of day –12, and
the EB treatment was eliminated. The status of the ovulatory follicle was followed by ultrasonography (SIUI CTS-900; equipped with a 5 MHz linear-array transducer, Guangdong, China) at 12 h intervals from CIDR removal until ovulation was detected.

**Figure 1.** Experimental design used for dairy buffaloes treated with a progesterone insert for 9 d (control) or for 5 d (short 5-day group) of a TAI protocol. Abbreviations are as follows: CIDR, intravaginal progesterone device; EB, estradiol benzoate; PGF, prostaglandin F2α; TAI, timed artificial insemination; US*, ultrasonic examinations.

In experiment 2, 51 lactating crossbred buffaloes (Murrah X Mediterrâneo) were used. Cows weighed 410 to 725 kg and had a BCS ranging from 2.5 to 3.5. Animals were maintained on a pasture of *Brachiaria brizantha* with *ad libitum* access to mineral mixture, salt, and water. Females in the control (n=27) and short 5 d (n= 24) groups received the same treatment as described for cows in experiment 1, except that all females were inseminated 16 to 18 h after GnRH injection (Figure 1). Ultrasonographic examinations were performed 35 days post-TAI to assess pregnancy status. Visualization of the embryonic vesicle and detection of the embryo with a heart-beat were the positive criteria for determining pregnancy.

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS 9.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Single-point outcome variables such as moment of ovulation after CIDR removal and diameter of the ovulatory follicle were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance. Differences of means among groups were determined using the Tukey’s post hoc test. Proportions and binomial variables such as ovulation and pregnancy rates were analyzed using the Chi-square test. The ovulation rate was calculated as the number of cows that ovulated divided by the total number of treated cows. Similarly, pregnancy rate (P/AI) was calculated as the number of pregnant cows 30 days after TAI relative to the number of cows that were inseminated. Differences among groups were considered statistically significant if the P-value was ≤0.05.

Reduction of the length of progesterone treatment along with the removal of EB from the TAI protocol did not affect ovarian responses and P/AI in buffaloes (Table 1). In addition, the interval from CIDR removal to ovulation did not differ between the groups (Table 1). The ranges of time of ovulation after CIDR removal were 78 to 102 h and 78 to 90 h for control and short 5 d groups,
respectively. One cow from each group failed to ovulate within this time frame.

The potential benefits of a reduction in the duration of TAI protocols has been extensively studied in dairy cows (GARCIA-ISPIERTO; LOPEZ-GATIUS, 2014; LIMA et al., 2013). Previous studies have shown the effectiveness of short protocols that use a GnRH treatment at the day of insertion of the progesterone device (BRIDGES et al., 2008; SANTOS et al., 2010). Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this is the first report evaluating the efficiency of a short 5 d TAI protocol in buffaloes that have not been administered GnRH or EB at the beginning of the protocol.

Table 1. Fertility responses of dairy water buffaloes treated with a conventional 9-day (control) or a short 5-day TAI protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Short 5-day</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ovulatory follicle diameter, mm*</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of ovulation after CIDR removal, h*</td>
<td>77.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>77.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of ovulating cows, %*</td>
<td>94.1 (16/17)</td>
<td>92.3 (12/13)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy per AI, %**</td>
<td>37 (10/27)</td>
<td>37.5 (9/24)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as average ± standard error
*Data from experiment 1
**Data from experiment 2.

We observed a P/AI of 37% in females synchronized with the short 5 d protocol, which was not different from the P/AI of control buffaloes synchronized by a conventional TAI protocol. Dairy cows treated with a 5 d progesterone-based TAI protocol without additional treatments on day 0 (EB or GnRH) had a P/AI somewhat similar to our results in buffaloes (31.6%) (GARCIA-ISPIERTO; LOPEZ-GATIUS, 2014). Recently, a study that did not use EB at the beginning of a 7 d protocol, but still used GnRH 36 h after CIDR removal, achieved a P/AI of ~40% in buffaloes (HAIDER et al., 2015). These previous results are similar to the P/AI observed in the current study using a 5 d progesterone-based protocol.

Earlier studies demonstrated that pregnancy rates were higher after two inseminations (60%) (NASEER et al., 2011), but not satisfactory with a single insemination (27%) (MURUGAVEL et al., 2009), in buffaloes treated using a CIDR-GnRH protocol. In contrast, buffalo cows in seasonal anestrous synchronized with a conventional protocol consisting of 9 d of progesterone exposure along with an equine chorionic gonadotrophin (eCG) injection at CIDR removal achieved a P/AI of approximately 50% (CARVALHO et al., 2014). As observed, the fertility of TAI dairy buffaloes is variable and further studies are still required to confirm whether a short 5 d protocol could successfully replace the conventional 9 d protocol as a less expensive alternative.

A routinely used method to minimize costs in TAI programs is to re-use the intravaginal progesterone inserts. In that regard, the use of short protocols in commercial buffalo herds may reduce the costs of the TAI protocol per cow, considering that the intravaginal progesterone device would be re-used more times in comparison with the 9 d conventional protocol. Moreover, our results indicate that the short 5 d TAI protocol may successfully eliminate the need for EB treatment on day 0, which would also be cost-effective for farmers. The withdrawal of EB from the TAI protocol would permit the use of the short 5 d protocol in countries in which the use of estradiol esters is forbidden, such as the European Union (2008/97/CE, 2008). Since 2008,
the use of estradiol-17β has been banned in farm animals by the European community because of its potential role as a complete carcinogen, as described by the Committee on Veterinary Measures Relating Science to Public Health.

In conclusion, the use of a short 5 d TAI protocol did not negatively affect ovarian responses and P/AI in dairy buffaloes, compared to the conventional 9 d protocol. Thus, short 5 d progesterone-based protocols could be a viable alternative to reduce costs of TAI and expand its use in buffaloes.
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