One-step laparoscopy for the correction of left abomasal displacement in high-yielding Holstein dairy cows

Laparoscopia em um passo para correção do deslocamento de abomaso à esquerda em vacas leiteiras de alta produção
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Abstract

Surgical procedures for the correction of abomasal displacement are one of the most frequently performed in dairy cows, and many surgical techniques have been described since the first cases of this disease were reported in the 1950s. Although no report to date has described the use of one-step laparoscopy in Brazil, the technique has several advantages over the traditional techniques, e.g., better abdominal visualization and minor trauma resulting from the minimally invasive technique. Accordingly, one-step laparoscopy, as described by Christiansen and Barisani, was performed to treat left abomasal displacement in 21 high-yielding dairy cows from two dairy regions of Paraná State. The technique was performed without complications in 12/21 (57.14%) cows. Ruminal (four animals), abomasal (two animals), both ruminal and abomasal (one animal), and splenic (one animal) perforations occurred during surgery but without any postoperative complication. One cow developed pyloric obstruction caused by the toggle bar suture, but early removal restored abomasal flux. Three animals died of different causes. One cow showed recurrence of displacement 1 month after surgery. A third access was necessary in cows that weighed more than 700 kg. One-step laparoscopy is an efficient, fast, and safe technique for the correction of left abomasal displacement.
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Resumo

Os procedimentos cirúrgicos para correção do deslocamento de abomaso estão entre os mais realizados em vacas leiteiras, existindo um grande número de técnicas cirúrgicas desde os primeiros casos da doença, diagnosticados nos anos 1950. Embora não exista informações do uso da técnica de abomasopexia por laparoscopia em um passo no Brasil, esta técnica apresenta várias vantagens em relação a abordagem tradicional, como por exemplo melhor visualização da cavidade abdominal e menor trauma em decorrência da técnica minimamente invasiva. Desta maneira, a técnica de abomasopexia por laparoscopia em um passo segundo Barisani e Christiansen foi utilizada para o tratamento do deslocamento de abomaso à esquerda em 21 vacas leiteiras de alta produção, pertencentes a duas bacias leiteiras do Paraná. A técnica foi realizada sem complicações em 12/21 (57,14%) das vacas. Ocorreram perfuração ruminal, (quatro animais), do abomaso (dois animais), de ambas rúmen e abomaso (um animais), e de ambos rúmen e abomaso (um animais).
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animal) e do baço (um animal) durante o procedimento cirúrgico, porém sem complicações no pós-operatório. Um animal apresentou obstrução de piloro provocada pelo fio toggle, entretanto a remoção precoce reestabeleceu o fluxo abomasal. Três animais vieram a óbito por diferentes causas. Um animal apresentou recidiva do deslocamento um mês após a operação. Um terceiro acesso foi utilizado em vacas pesando mais de 700 Kg. A técnica de laparoscopia em um passo mostrou-se eficiente, rápida e segura para a correção do deslocamento de abomaso à esquerda.


Introduction

Abomasal displacement has been defined as the abnormal movement of the abomasum into the abdominal cavity. It is mostly referred to as left abomasal displacement (LAD) when the abomasum is displaced in a left caudodorsal direction between the rumen and abdominal wall (DIRKSEN, 2006; NIEHAUS, 2008). Studies have shown that LAD is 8 to 9 times more likely to occur than right abomasal displacement (SHAVER, 1997).

The pathogenesis of abomasal displacement has not been fully established, and several factors have been associated with disease development (GEISHAUSER, 1995). Although increased ruminal and abomasal production of volatile fatty acids (SVENDSEN; KRISTENSEN, 1970), negative energy balance (SHAVER, 1997), hypocalcemia (CURTIS et al., 1983), ketosis (ROHRBACH et al., 1999), metabolic alkalosis (POULSEN; JONES, 1974), prostaglandins, and endotoxins (VLAMINCK et al., 1985) have been suggested to play a role in disease pathogenesis, no etiologic agent has been experimentally established to contribute to pathogenesis (BARROS FILHO, 2002; ITOH et al., 2011).

Treatment of LAD has focused on surgical repositioning of the abomasum via several techniques with specific advantages and disadvantages associated with the correction of the acid-base and electrolyte imbalances (BARROS FILHO, 2008). Surgical techniques include right paralumbar omentopexy or the Hannover approach (DIRKSEN, 1961, 1967), left paralumbar omentopexy or the Utrecht approach (LAGERWEIJ; NUMANS, 1962, 1968), ventral paramedian omentopexy (STRAITON; MCINTEE, 1959), percutaneous abomasal fixation (HULL, 1972), percutaneous fixation with toggle bar suture (GRYMER; STERNER, 1982), two-step laparoscopic abomasopexy (JANOWITZ, 1998), and, more recently, one-step laparoscopic abomasopexy (BARISANI, 2004; CHRISTIANSEN, 2004).

One-step laparoscopic abomasopexy has been considered an advancement of the two-step laparoscopic technique, and is characterized by the ventral (and cranial to navel) fixation of a toggle suture by using a “spieker”. Although this technique has been described as being faster, less traumatic, less stressful, and more comfortable (no dorsal recumbence) than the other techniques are (BARISANI, 2004; VAN LEEUWEN et al., 2009), no study to date has described its use in Brazil. Therefore, the present study was conducted to describe the outcomes and complications of 21 dairy cows with LAD treated using one-step laparoscopic abomasopexy.

Material and Methods

This study was performed on 21 Holstein-Friesian dairy cows with LAD. All cows were from high-yielding dairy farms (higher than 8500 kg of milk/cow/305 days) of Arapoti-PR (nine farms; 19 animals) and Fazenda Rio Grande-PR (one farm; two animals).

The diagnosis of LAD was established through clinical examination, drop in milk yield, presence of scant and diarrheic faeces, dehydration (skin turgor and eye position in the orbit), and tympanic and metallic ping on auscultation-percussion in the left paralumbar fossa.
The short-term efficacy (during 1 month) of one-step laparoscopic abomasopexy was evaluated. The surgeries were performed between April 2014 and April 2015 by one surgeon (JHP) with assistance from three others surgeons (RDH, HRD, and IRBF).

One-step laparoscopic abomasopexy was performed according the technique reported by Christiansen (2004) and Barisani (2004). The cows were restrained in yokes and the skin 15 cm ventral to the transverse process and 5 cm caudal to the 13th rib was clipped; the cows were then anesthetized using 10 mL of 2% lidocaine without a vasoconstrictor. Following skin incision, a trocar (9-mm external diameter, 8-mm internal diameter, and 11.5-cm length) was introduced dorsocaudally, perforating the abdominal muscles and peritoneal membrane (Figure 1). The laparoscope (0º, 40-cm length, and 7-mm diameter) was introduced through this port and an air pump (4 L/min, 0.2 bar, and 230 V) was connected. The work port (12-mm diameter and 12-cm length) was introduced under laparoscopic guidance over the 11th intercostal space after clipping and anesthesia. The abomasum was localized (Figure 2), and the dorsal region (next to the greater omentum attachment in the greater abomasal curvature) was perforated (Figure 3) using a cannula. The toggle bar suture was exteriorized after a knock in the high extremity of the speiker localized in the left body wall (Figure 4B), and was tied in ventral abdomen using a gauze roll.

**Figure 1.** Introduction of a trocar in a 45° angle to avoid abomasal/ruminal perforation.

**Figure 2.** Laparoscopic inspection and confirmation of abomasal displacement. A, abomasum, R, rumen, S, spleen.
Christiansen (2004) described a modified technique. The procedure was performed conventionally until the introduction of the toggle bar suture into the abomasum. At this point, the spieker was introduced into the abdomen without the toggle suture. The ventral abdomen was perforated, and a nylon thread was tied to the ventral extremity of the spieker (Figure 4A), which was then removed out of the abdomen. At this point, one end of the nylon thread was in the ventral paramedian region and the other in the left body wall. The toggle suture was tied to the nylon thread (Figure 5A), and the ventral extremity was pulled (Figure 5B), exteriorizing the toggle bar suture, which was then tied.

This technique was further modified for cows weighing more than 700 kg, because the spieker could not reach the right paramedian side in such heavier cows. A third access over the 10th or 11th intercostal space and 15 cm ventral to the second access was used to introduce the work port and spieker. To move the toggle bar suture ventrally, the thread had to be recovered first (Figure 4B) through the third port by using a hook or a clamp used in the technique described by Janowitz (1998). This step was necessary because the thread was in the second port (Figure 6).
Following surgery, the cows received benzathine benzylpenicillin intramuscularly for 3 consecutive days (20000 IU/kg/24 h). Surgical wounds were cleaned with povidone iodine and protected against flies. The toggle bar suture was removed 7 days after surgery.

**Results**

Data regarding the animals and techniques used in this work, as well as complications, have been described in Table 1. Three animals underwent surgery according to the technique described by Barisani (2004). However, some complications were encountered during that procedure, and hence, the method described by Christiansen (2004) was used. The overall mean duration of surgery was (without the animal’s preparation time) 35.04 ± 11.40 min (range, 20 to 60 min).

Three animals died 48, 72, and 120 h after surgery. One animal had fetid gas and green-colored content inside the uterus at necropsy. Another animal had not shown satisfactory improvement following surgery, and necropsy was not performed to discover the cause of death. One animal had dark, fetid, diarrheic feces; pale mucous membrane; and a packed cell volume of 15% 48 h after surgery. Supportive treatment (fluid-therapy and blood transfusion) was not effective, and the cow died. At
necropsy, the abomasum was found to have been ruptured at the point of toggle fixation. The cause of abomasal rupture and bleeding was suspected to be a fall, because the owner had reported previous episodes of falling and slips for this animal.

Cow 04 did not recover after surgery, and a blood-gas analysis revealed a diagnosis of metabolic alkalosis with excess of bicarbonate (48 mmol/L 72 h after surgery), possibly due to a pylorus obstruction. The toggle suture was cut off, and the cow’s clinical condition improved thereafter.

During laparoscopic port introduction, ruminal (four cases), abomasal (two cases), and ruminal plus abomasal (one case) perforations occurred. Splenic perforation also occurred in one animal during trocar introduction into the abomasum, causing a small hemorrhage. Regardless of these accidents, no complications were observed following surgery.

Table 1. Weight, complications and chosen method employed to treat 21 cows with left abomasal displacement by one-step laparoscopic abomasopexy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Weight (Kg)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>Ruminal perforation</td>
<td>Third access required, subcutaneous emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>Ruminal perforation</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>Ruminal and abomasal perforation</td>
<td>Third access required, pylorus obstruction, toggle bar suture removed 72h following surgery; subcutaneous emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>Ruminal perforation</td>
<td>Third access required, pylorus obstruction, toggle bar suture removed 72h following surgery; subcutaneous emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>Abomasal perforation</td>
<td>Third access required, abomasum fixed in left paramedian region; subcutaneous emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>Abomasal perforation</td>
<td>Third access required, death due abomasal perforation 120 hours after surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>Splenic perforation difficulty in leading the spieker ventrally</td>
<td>Third access required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>Toggle thread cut off by trocar tip (released internally in abdomen)</td>
<td>Third access required. Relapsed one month following surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>Toggle thread cut off by trocar tip (released internally in abdomen)</td>
<td>Method of Barisani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>Toggle suture remained in subcutaneous tissue</td>
<td>Method of Barisani; death 72h after surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Third access required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Third access required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Third access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Subcutaneous emphysema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Third access required, relapsed 48h after surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Method of Barisani</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The technique described by Barisani (2004) was successfully performed in one animal. In cow 09, only one thread of the toggle bar suture was exteriorized during right paramedian perforation. Even with one suture inside and another outside, the abomasum could be fixed in place. This animal has not shown any complications or LAD recurrence during 1 month of monitoring. In cow 10, the toggle bar suture knot was tangled subcutaneously, and the skin was cut to exteriorize the suture.

Cow 08 showed a drop in milk yield 1 month after surgery, and an examination revealed LAD recurrence. The animal was subjected to right-side laparotomy, which revealed an adhesion between the abomasum, rumen, and left body wall, as well as detachment of the parietal peritoneum. Considering these complications, the animal was slaughtered. Subcutaneous emphysema was observed in four animals on the dorsal surface of the transverse process of lumbar vertebrae in one animal and around the surgical wound in three.

Discussion

One-step laparoscopic abomasopexy has been effectively used to treat LAD under field conditions, despite the use of fragile devices. The procedure can be promptly accomplished by two surgeons. By adopting basic antiseptic care techniques, the cows can be operated on without serious complications such as peritonitis. Laparoscopy also allows visualization of the dorsal rumen, displaced abomasum, spleen, and diaphragm. Moreover, all animals well tolerated the procedure performed in a standing position, using only a yoke restraint and local anesthesia.

This technique has been described as being simpler than two-step laparoscopic abomasopexy, because it can be performed with the animal in the standing position, thereby avoiding aspiration pneumonia, injuries, and uterine and mesenteric torsion (NEWMAN et al., 2008; VAN LEEUWEN et al., 2009). However, the technique also has some disadvantages including the inability to visualize the cranial abdomen and recognize adhesions between the rumen, reticulum, omentum, or right paramedian wall; risk of including the greater omentum in the suture; and abomasal perforation during spieker introduction towards the right paramedian region (NEWMAN et al., 2008).

The average surgical time in this study was higher than that reported in the literature (35 min vs. 20 min) (BARISANI, 2004; CHRISTIANSEN, 2004). This difference could probably be attributed to the number of cases in which a third access port was used in this study (47%), which increased the surgical time. The lower experience of the surgeons in this study compared to those in the literature (21 vs. 200 animals operated) could also have contributed to the increased surgical time.

Surgical accidents during laparoscopic procedures have been described previously (BARISANI, 2004; CHRISTIANSEN, 2004; GNEMMI, 2006; VAN LEEUWEN et al., 2009). Abomasal perforation can occur when the viscus is highly distended and occupies the cranial portion of the left paralumbar region through which the laparoscopic and work ports were introduced. To avoid this accident, the abomasum was deflated using a Veress needle prior to port introduction. Ruminal perforation can occur when the viscus was distended or next to the left body wall (abomasum partially distended). To avoid this accident, the angle of introduction of the port trocar was set at 45° dorsocaudally (Figure 1) and presurgical left paralumbar fossa massage was given to all the animals.

Gnemmi (2006) have described complications not observed in this study. These include the persistence of the toggle bar suture inside the trocar without moving into the abomasum, the toggle bar suture falling into the abdominal cavity during trocar withdrawal or during trocar rod insertion, release of gas from the abomasum through the trocar during toggle bar insertion, and ventral sliding of the abomasum. Nevertheless, by accurately performing
the described movements under laparoscopic guidance, the two surgeons could ensure successful completion of each surgical step.

Abomasal fistula (CHRISTIANSEN, 2004; GNEMMI, 2006), circumscribed peritonitis, and paramedian myositis at the toggle bar suture fixation site (BARISANI, 2004) were not observed in this study. The adoption of aseptic surgical methods and administration of antibiotics to all animals after surgery could have contributed to the absence of peritonitis, which was observed in the study by Barisani (2004) who did not adopt these practices in all animals.

Using the method described by Barisani (2004) resulted in complications in two animals, as has also been described by Van Leeuwen et al. (2009). These complications included lodging of the toggle bar suture in the subcutaneous tissue and shearing off of threads. The knot joining the threads can produce friction against the big needle, thereby preventing the thread from sliding off the trocar. These complications are known to increase surgical time and hamper correct abomasal fixation.

Clinical and laboratory evaluations performed within 48 h of surgery have been decisive in diagnosing pyloric obstruction caused by the toggle bar suture (KELTON; FUBINI, 1989). The lack of appetite and defecation (VAN LEEUWEN et al., 2009) associated with metabolic alkalosis (48 mmol/L) is also an indication of this surgical complication. However, premature removal of the toggle bar suture can help correct this problem, as reported by Kelton and Fubini (1989) and Van Leeuwen et al. (2009).

Intraabdominal air introduction could induce subcutaneous emphysema (VAN LEEUWEN et al., 2009). In this study, the use of an air pump could not distend the abdominal cavity sufficiently, and hence, its use was discontinued. Apart from the aesthetic appearance of the surgical region, reported by the owners, no other complications were reported until resolution. Speiker introduction induced discomfort and pain in some cows, probably caused by friction of the needle tip against the peritoneum, because of the straight configuration of the needle.

The decision to use a third access port to correct LAD in cows weighing over 700 kg was based on previous experience of difficulty reaching the right ventral paramedian abdomen to fix the abomasum in large cows; nevertheless, left-side fixation does not result in further complications (VAN LEEUWEN et al., 2009). The absence of information in the literature about this modification to the original technique prompted us, on the basis of the experience acquired, to specify this weight (700 kg) as a criterion for deciding which technique to use for surgery.

The greatest risk of using a third access port was ruminal perforation during work port introduction, because at this time, the abomasum has returned to its anatomical position, allowing the rumen to touch the left body wall. The introduction of the work port should be done under laparoscopic guidance to prevent ruminal perforation. In this study, the abomasum could not be fixed on the right paramedian region by using the third access port only in one animal.

Minimally invasive surgical techniques have not ensured permanent abomasal fixation, and the adhesions formed initially have disappeared in about 60% of the cases until the subsequent lactation period (AL-BAYATI, 2011). Christiansen (2004) reported a recurrence rate of 0.019% (three of 152 animals operated), but did not describe how long the observation time was. In this study, two animals had LAD recurrence during the study period – one because of a technical error (fixation on the left paramedian side) and the other because of a lack of adhesion. However, recurrence was not observed in any of the treated cows during the subsequent lactation period.
Conclusion

One-step laparoscopic abomasopexy has proved efficient in correcting LAD under field conditions. Moreover, although complications previously reported in the literature were observed in this study, these did not affect surgical efficiency. The study findings suggest that the possibility of treating LAD via a faster, safer, and less traumatic procedure justifies the use of expensive laparoscopic equipment.
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